Appl. No. 10/076,340 Response dated 03/26/2007 Reply to Office Action of 02/28/2007

REMARKS

In the above-identified Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12, 16 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Seidel. Claims 3 - 5, 8 - 10, 13 - 15 and 18 - 20 were indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicants have rewritten Claims 3, 8, 13 and 18 in their independent form by amending independent Claims 1, 6, 11 and 16 to include the limitations of Claims 2 and 3, 7 and 8, 12 and 13, 17 and 18, respectively. Consequently, Claims 2, 3, 7, 8, 12, 13, 17 and 18 are canceled from the Application. Applicants believe that the Application is in allowance form and kindly request passage to issue of the pending claims.

Respectfully Submitted

By: // (/

Volet Emile Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 39,969

(512) 306-7969